



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,267	08/25/2000	William Nicol Keith	9013-18	9771
110	7590	01/13/2006	EXAMINER	
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			BABIC, CHRISTOPHER M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/601,267	Applicant(s) KEITH, WILLIAM NICOL	
	Examiner Christopher M. Babic	Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher M. Babic.

(2) Kathleen Rigaut, Ph. D., J.D..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim 1

Claims discussed:

1-3, 5-10, 30, 31, 45-52

Prior art documents discussed:

Villeponteau et al. (U.S. 5,583,016)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 1/10/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed applicant of allowability of Claims 1-3, 5-10, 30, 31, 45-52 over the Villponteau reference. Applicant agreed to submit supplemental response to cancel "functional fragment" language of Claim 1 and rewrite additional isolated promoter sequences in similar language.